

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. 3222 | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/530,965 | 05/18/2000 | MARTHA A. WARPEHOSKI | 0769-0420-OX | | |
| 7. | 590 10/16/2002 | | | | |
| OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND | | | EXAMINER | | |
| MAIER & NEUSTADT 1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY | | | LIU, HONG | | |
| | | | LIU, HONG | | |
| FOURTH FLO ARLINGTON, | * | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| ARLINGTON, | VA 22202 | | 1624 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 10/16/2002 | /5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/530,965**

Applicant(s)

Warpehoski et al.

Examiner

Hong Liu

Art Unit 1624

| Ш | | Ш | |
|---|--|---|--|

| | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears | s on the cover sheet with the correspondenc | address |
|---|--|---|--------------------------|
| | for Reply | | |
| THE | IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | |
| | sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no | o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONT | HS from the |
| - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r | period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commapplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nunication. |
| Status | • | | |
| 1) 💢 | Responsive to communication(s) filed onAug 23, 2 | 2002 | |
| 2a) 🗓 | This action is FINAL . 2b) This acti | ion is non-final. | |
| 3) 🗌 | Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa | | e merits is |
| Dispos | sition of Claims | | |
| 4) 🛛 | Claim(s) <u>4, 6, and 20-36</u> | is/are | pending in the applica |
| | 4a) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are w | ithdrawn from considera |
| 5) 🗌 | Claim(s) | | is/are allowed. |
| 6) 💢 | Claim(s) 4, 6, and 20-36 | | is/are rejected. |
| 7) 🗌 | Claim(s) | | is/are objected to. |
| 8) 🗌 | Claims | are subject to restriction | and/or election requirem |
| | eation Papers | | |
| | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10) 🗆 | The drawing(s) filed on is/a | are a \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the | Examiner. |
| , — | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawi | | |
| 11) | The proposed drawing correction filed on | | proved by the Examiner. |
| , | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to the | | • |
| 12) 🗌 | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | | |
| • | y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | |
| | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prio | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) | ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of: | | |
| | 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have | been received. | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have | been received in Application No. | · |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority doc application from the International Bureau | uments have been received in this National (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | Stage |
| *8 | see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the o | , , , , | |
| 14) 🗌 | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr | riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | |
| a) | ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional | application has been received. | |
| 15) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr | riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | |
| Attachr | • • | | |
| _ | otice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | - |
| _ | otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| 3) <u> </u> In | formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | 6)Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 09/530,965 Page 2

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 4, 6, and 20-36 are pending in this application.

This action is in response to the applicants' amendment and reply filed on August 23,

2002.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed on August 23, 2002 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a

prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 4 and 20-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing

subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey

to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had

possession of the claimed invention. Provisos have been included in the claim (see amended claim

4). The proviso lacks description. Even negative limitations require a description. The MPEP at

2173.05(I) Negative Limitation states "Any negative limitation or exclusionary proviso must have

basis in the original disclosure. See Ex parte Grasselli, 231USPQ 393 (Bd. App. 1983) aff'd

mem., 738 F. 2d 453 (Fed. Cir. 1984)" and further, "Any claim containing a negative limitation

Art Unit: 1624

which does not have basis in the original disclosure should be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement." In the instant case, the new concept that has been introduced by the proviso is the specific relationships between R2, Y, h, Q, and R1. This specific relationship of connectivity was previously not disclosed. This notion that the definition of one variable depends on the definitions of other variables is new. The definition of a variable is no longer independent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The rejection to claims under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) is hereby withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment of the claims by inserting a series of provisos.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 6 remains rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bender et al. (EP 0780386). Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The species in claim 6 differ from the reference compounds only in the substituent at the 2position of propionic acid, i.e. hydroxy v. H. Hydrogen and hydroxy groups are not patentably distinct from each other in the prior art. OH v. H is not deemed patentably distinct in the absence of superior or unexpected properties. See In re Wood 199 USPQ 137; In re Lohr 137 USPQ 548 regarding the addition of a Me group to a known compound. Furthermore, applicants should note a replacement of two hydrogen atoms on a known compounds with two lower alky radicals has

Art Unit: 1624

been held to be prima facie obvious due to the close structural similarity. Note In re Hoke, 195 USPQ 148 and Ex parte Fauque, 121 USPQ 425. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the instant invention was made to expect instant compounds to possess the same use as the applied art in view of the close structural similarity outlined above.

Conclusion

1. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Hong Liu whose telephone number is (703) 306-5814. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by the phone are

Application/Control Number: 09/530,965 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mukund Shah can be reached at (703) 308-4716. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-4734 for "unofficial" purposes and the actual number for **official** business is (703) 308-4556. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose number is (703) 308-1235.

hl October 10, 2002

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1624